

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Anubhav Khanna, (M-7404981653)
S/o ShMaharaj Saran Khanna,
#653, Sector-5, Tehsil Thanesar,
Distt- Kurukshetra-136118

..... Appellant

.Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Fazilka

First Appellate Authority
O/o DIGP, Ferozepur Range,
Ferozepur

.....Respondent

AC No 606 of 2024
Through CISCO webex

Present :- (i) None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Mohinder Pal, Sr. Constable (9417066019)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 14.01.2026 and 22.04.2026 passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information has been sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was asked to point out deficiencies, if any.

2. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is again not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. As directed in the previous hearing dated 14.01.2026, the appellant has not even pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided. Even the last orders dated 14.01.2026 and 22.04.2026 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for third consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



ShManpreet Singh,
E-33, Road No. 25, PDA,
Omaxe City, Patiala (M-9032023008)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.Vs

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.3641 of 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Kamalpreet Singh, on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : PIO- Sh Ikjot Dhawan, EO (77396-77758) Sh Karmjit Singh,
SrAsstt. (9501050329) and Ms Harvinder Kaur, Sr Asstt.(62396-78630)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 03.01.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 05.08.2026 in the Commission.

2. The respondent states that the complete information has been sent to the appellant.

3. Sh Kamalpreet Singh is appearing on behalf of the appellant with authority letter. He states that the information pertaining to point no. 3 has not been provided to him. Rest of the information stands provided. The respondent states that they have brought the copy of the information today. Hence, the copy of information, pertaining to point no. 3 is handed over to the representative for the appellant. He has gone through the same and states that he is satisfied with it.

4. Since the appellant is satisfied with the information provided therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



ShManpreet Singh,
E-33, Road No. 25, PDA,
Omaxe City, Patiala (M-9032023008)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.Vs

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.3642of 2024

Present :- (i) ShKamalpreet Singh, on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : PIO- ShIkjotDhawan, EO (77396-77758) ShKarmjit Singh,
SrAsstt. (9501050329) and Ms HarvinderKaur, SrAsstt.(62396-78630)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 00.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 05.08.2026 in the Commission.

2. Sh Kamalpreet Singh is appearing on behalf of the appellant with authority letter. He states that the information has not been provided to him.

3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third party information and the so-called third party has also given in writing that his information shall not be shared with anyone else.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the complainant. Accordingly from the perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public interest for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and was not supplied as per the stance taken by the respondents and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.3642 of 2024

5. The appellant may also take note of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP no. 27734 of 2012 titled Girish Ramchandra Deshpande Vs Cen. Information Commr. & Ors and another in its order on 03.10.2012 has held as under:-

(13. We are in agreement with the CIC and the courts below that the details called for by the petitioner i.e. copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc. are qualified to be personal information as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. The performance of an employee/officer in an organization is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression "personal information", the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of that individual. Of course, in a given case, if the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer of the Appellate Authority is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information, appropriate orders could be passed but the petitioner cannot claim those details as a matter of right).

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for, if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. In view of the above, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



ShManpreet Singh,
E-33, Road No. 25, PDA,
Omaxe City, Patiala (M-9032023008)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.Vs

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.3643of 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Kamalpreet Singh, on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : PIO- ShIkjotDhawan, EO (77396-77758) ShKarmjit Singh,
Sr Asstt. (9501050329) and Ms HarvinderKaur, SrAsstt.(62396-78630)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 03.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 05.08.2026 in the Commission.

2. Sh Kamalpreet Singh is appearing on behalf of the appellant with authority letter. He states that the information has not been provided to him.

3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third party information and the so-called third party has also given in writing that his information shall not be shared with anyone else.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the complainant. Accordingly, from the perusal of this RTI application it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public interest for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and was not supplied as per the stance taken by the respondents and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.



AC No.3643of 2024

5. The appellant may also take note of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP no. 27734 of 2012 titled GirishRamchandraDeshpandeVs Cen. Information Commr. & Ors and another in its order on 03.10.2012 has held as under:-

(13. We are in agreement with the CIC and the courts below that the details called for by the petitioner i.e. copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc. are qualified to be personal information as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. The performance of an employee/officer in an organization is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression "personal information", the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of that individual. Of course, in a given case, if the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer of the Appellate Authority is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information, appropriate orders could be passed but the petitioner cannot claim those details as a matter of right).

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for, if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. In view of the above, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



ShManpreet Singh,
E-33, Road No. 25, PDA,
Omaxe City, Patiala (M-9032023008)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.Vs

First Appellate Authority
O/o Director, State Transport, Pb
Sector-17, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.3644 of 2024

Present :- (i) ShKamalpreet Singh, on behalf of the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : PIO- ShIkjotDhawan, EO (77396-77758) ShKarmjit Singh,
SrAsstt. (9501050329) and Ms HarvinderKaur, SrAsstt.(62396-78630)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 03.02.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 05.08.2026 in the Commission.

2. ShKamalpreet Singh is appearing on behalf of the appellant with authority letter. He states that the information has not been provided to the appellant so far.

3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third party information and the so-called third party has also given in writing that his information shall not be shared with anyone else.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the nature of information sought is mainly the information which has the relevance and governance of the relationship of the employer and the employee and not between this official and the appellant. Accordingly from the perusal of this RTI application, it has become abundantly clear that the purpose of the appellant in seeking this kind of information does not involve any larger public interest for asking the information as per the details mentioned in his application and was not supplied as per the stance taken by the respondents and it should not be in the public domain as has been upheld by the Hon'ble Supreme Court and other Hon'ble Courts to avoid the humiliation and harassment of the employees. Allowing this kind of information will certainly strengthen the abuse of the process of law.

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



AC No.3644of 2024

5. The appellant may also take note of the decision of Hon'ble Supreme Court of India in SLP no. 27734 of 2012 titled GirishRamchandraDeshpandeVs Cen. Information Commr. &Ors and another in its order on 03.10.2012 has held as under:-

(13. We are in agreement with the CIC and the courts below that the details called for by the petitioner i.e. copies of all memos issued to the third respondent, show cause notices and orders of censure/punishment etc. are qualified to be personal information as defined in clause (j) of Section 8(1) of the RTI Act. The performance of an employee/officer in an organization is primarily a matter between the employee and the employer and normally those aspects are governed by the service rules which fall under the expression "personal information", the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. On the other hand, the disclosure of which would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of that individual. Of course, in a given case, if the Central Public Information Officer or the State Public Information Officer of the Appellate Authority is satisfied that the larger public interest justifies the disclosure of such information, appropriate orders could be passed but the petitioner cannot claim those details as a matter of right).

6. As discussed above the appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for, if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act and may also lead to intimidation of such officials. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. In view of the above, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh Kamalpreet Singh.
E-33, Road No. 25, PDA,
Omaxe City, Patiala (M-9032023008)

..... Appellant

Public Information Officer,

.Vs

O/o Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training Pb
Plot No. 1, Sector 38A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, Technical Education & Industrial Training Pb
Plot No. 1, Sector 38A, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.3639 of 2024

Present :- (i) Sh Kamalpreet Singh, appellant

(ii) For the respondent : PIO- Sh Manga Singh, Assistant Director(90233-12473)

Sh Balwinder Singh, APIO (99142-19912) and Sh Vikramjeet Singh, Clerk (78888-34855)

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 29.01.2024 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 20.05.2024 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for 05.08.2026 in the Commission.

2. The appellant states that no information has been provided to him.

3. The respondent states that the information sought by the appellant is third-party information. Hence, the same cannot be provided to the appellant. He has also filed his reply regarding the same.

4. After hearing both the parties and perusing the documents placed on record, it is ascertained that the appellant has demanded information regarding the correspondence/applications between the persons named in his RTI application and the respondent-department, which has no relationship to any public activity or public interest. The appellant for seeking the personal information in the instant case has not been made with due bona fides and in larger public interest. The furnishing of the information asked for, if provided, would cause unwarranted invasion of privacy of the individual under Section 8(1)(j) of the RTI Act. Hence, the contention of the Respondent **is upheld**. Therefore, no further cause of action is left. In view of the above, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

sd/-

Date :05.08.2026

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

RTI Helpline - 01722864100

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Bhushan Kumar,
S/o Sh. AmitLal, Near Bus Stand
RampuraPhul, Distt. Bathinda

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o SSP, Bathinda

First Appellate Authority
O/o IGP Bathinda Range, Bathinda

Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 4876 OF 2023

Present :- (i) None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent : Sh. Resham Singh, ASI (7009880345) through CISCO webex

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 02.04.2026, 15.01.2026 and 28.10.2025 passed by the Commission vide which the appellant was not present and the respondent stated that the information has been sent to the appellant. Further the appellant was asked to point out deficiencies, if any.

2. The perusal of the case file shows that the appellant is again not present today. He has not even informed the Commission about his absence. As directed in the hearing dated 15.01.2026, the appellant has not even pointed out any deficiencies in the information provided. Even the last orders dated 02.04.2026, 15.01.2026 and 28.10.2025 sent to him has not been returned undelivered which makes it clear that the same has been duly received by him. As the Appellant is absent for fourth consecutive hearing before the undersigned and nothing has been heard from him regarding the receipt of information. Hence, it is presumed that he is satisfied with the information provided. In view of the above, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Date :05.08.2026

Sd/-
(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864120

Email: psic25@punjabmail.gov.in Visit us: www.infocommpunjab.com



ShRavinder Kumar, (M-78375-17939)
S/o Sh Shiv Nath,
Ward No 1, Basoli Road, Rakkar Colony,
Una, Himachal Pradesh-174303

..... Appellant

.Vs

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Vigilance Officer,
Local Govt, Pb, Municipal Bhawan, Plot No. 3,
Sector -35A, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority
O/o Under Secretary, Local Govt, Pb
PbMunicipalBhawan, Plot No. 3,
Sector -35A, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

AC No.299 of 2024
Through CISCO Webex

Present :- (i)None for the appellant
(ii) For the respondent- Sh.Rohit Jindal, Vigilance Officer (9815830757)

ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders passed by the Commission.

2. It is observed that vide the Commission's earlier order dated 30.09.2025, the appellant was granted an opportunity to file a rejoinder. Despite lapse of considerable time and repeated opportunities, no rejoinder has been filed by the appellant. Further, today is the third hearing, neither has the appellant appeared before the Commission nor has any request for adjournment or rejoinder been received. In these circumstances, it is evident that the appellant is no longer interested in pursuing the present appeal. Accordingly, no further intervention of the Commission is called for. Hence the present appeal is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Dr. Bhupinder S Batth)
State Information Commissioner
Punjab

Date :05.08.2026