**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Puneet K. Sharma,

Advocate, Chamber No.349,

2nd Floor, Yadwindra Complex,

Lawyers Chamber, District Courts,

Patiala. --Appellant.

Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Inspector General of Police,

Punjab Armed Police, Jalandhar.

FAAA-Additional Director General of Police,

Armed Battalions, Punjab, Jalandhar. -------Respondents.

Appeal Case No.3268 of 2017

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Ravinder Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 6.9.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 9.10.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 14.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation.

4. The representative of the respondents states that they have sent reply to the information-seeker vide their letter dated 15.12.2017, through registered post,
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stating that information of GPF account numbers of SPOs who were appointed before the year 2004 on regular basis, cannot be supplied as the Punjab Armed Forces are exempted under Section 24 of the RTI Act, 2005. The information asked for by the appellant is third party information and also personnel information as contemplated under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. The representative of the respondents rely on the judgment dated 03.10.2012 by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (civil no. 27734 of 2012) titled “Girish Ram Chandra Deshpande vs. CIC, New Delhi and others”, which relates to personnel information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, the PIO is not bound to give such information if no public interest is involved.

5. The appellant is advised to send his observations/deficiencies in the   
information, so provided, to him as early as possible and the respondents are directed to remove the deficiencies on its receipt from the appellant before the next date of hearing. Last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to come forward and defend his case otherwise it will be decided on merits.

6. To come up on 20.2.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Shri Manjeet Singh**

**s/o Shri Gurcharan Singh,**

**R/o H.No.4, Street No.3,**

**Anandnagar-B, Patiala-147001. ----**Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Inspector General of Police,**

**PAP, Jalandhar.**

**FAA-Director General of Police, Punjab,**

**PAP, Jalandhar.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3259 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

**Shri Ravinder Singh, Deputy Superintendent of Police for the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 2.3.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 4.9.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 13.11.2017under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The appellant is absent without intimation.

4. The representative of the respondents states that reply to application for information was sent to the appellant on 14.12.2017 through registered post, which might have been received by him. No deficiency in the information, so provided, to the appellant has been received till date.
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5. The appellant is advised to send his observations/deficiencies in the   
information, so provided, to him as early as possible and the respondents are directed to remove the deficiencies on its receipt from the appellant before the next date of hearing. Last opportunity is afforded to the appellant to come forward and defend his case otherwise it will be decided on merits.

6. To come up on 20.2.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab
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**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**
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Shri Tejinder Singh

r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Station House Officer,**

**Police Station, Mullanpur,**

**Ludhiana.**

**FAA-Senior Superintendent of Police,**

Ludhiana (Rural) at Jagraon. -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3245 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Avtar Singh, Head Constable on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 30.09.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The representative of the respondents states that complete information has been supplied to the appellant vide their letter dated 27.10.2017 and again on 26.12.2017. He further states that no deficiency has ever been received in their office after the supply of information.

4. In view of the above, the present case is disposed of and closed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Tejinder Singh

r/o Village Bholapur, P.O. Ramgarh,

Chandigarh Road, Ludhiana. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Station House Officer,**

**Police Station, Ladhowal,**

**Ludhiana.**

**FAA-Commissioner of Police,**

**Ludhiana.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3244 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

None on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 14.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 30.9.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 15.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. Today, none is present on behalf of the complainant as well as the respondents, without any intimation. Accordingly, one opportunity is afforded to the respondents to provide information to the information-seeker before the next date of hearing.

4. To come up on 20.2.2018 at 11.30 A.M. for further proceedings.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Karandeep Singh Kairon,

President, National RTI Activist Forum,

7 South Model Gram, Sampuran Colony,

Ludhiana-2. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Municipal Corporation,**

**Ludhiana.**

**FAA-Municipal Corporation,**

**Ludhiana.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3219 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

Shri Rahul Kumar, Bldg. Inspector (Tech) alongwith Shri P.S. Walia, Draftsman, Shri Ajay Kumar, Building Inspector (Tech.) and Shri Dalip Soni, Junior Draftsman on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 23.07.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 25.08.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 09.11.2012 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. Shri Dalip Soni, Junior Draftsman appearing on behalf of the respondents, informs that requisite information has been provided to the appellant on 27.9.2017, which has been received by him. Accordingly, last opportunity is given to the appellant to send his observations, if any, on the provided information, to the PIO, with a copy to the Commission before the next date of hearing, which is fixed for 20.2.2018.

4. To come up on 20.02.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**
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Shri Karandeep Singh Kairon,

President, National RTI Activist Forum,

7 South Model Gram, Sampuran Colony,

Ludhiana-2. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Deputy Commissioner,**

**Ludhiana.**

**FAA-Deputy Commissioner,**

**Ludhiana.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3218 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

**Shri Amarinder Singh Malhi, Assistant Commissioner (General), Malhi on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 24.07.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 25.08.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 9.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The appellant is absent without any intimation.

4. The representative of the respondents places on record letter dated 22.12.2017 vide which information has been supplied to the appellant with the advice to the appellant to visit their office and inspect the record, in case of any deficiency.
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5. On perusal of the record, it has come to notice that the appellant has filed application for information on 24.07.2017 and information has been supplied only on 22.12.2017. In view of this, the respondents are directed to file their formal reply in the shape of an affidavit justifying the delay.

6. To come up on 12.2.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Nirmaljit Singh s/o

Late Shri Joginder Singh,

MIG-485, Urban Estate, Phase-1,

Patiala. --Appellant. Vs.

Public Information Officer

o/o Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime)

o/o the Director General of Police, Punjab,

Chandigarh.

FAA-Inspector General of Police (Crime),

o/o the Director General of Police, Punjab,

Chandigarh. -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3207 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Nirmaljeet Singh, appellant, in person.

Shri Ajeet Sharma, ASI alongwith Shri Jagtar Singh, HC on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 27.2.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 1.5.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 8.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.
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3. After deliberation, it has been found that the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice (Press-II Branch) asked the appellant-Shri Nirmaljit Singh to deposit Rs.18/- for furnishing him information comprising of 9 pages. Thereafter he wrote back that they cannot ask any fee, if the fee is calculated and is informed to the appellant after 30 days of receipt of application for information as per provisions of RTI Act, 2005 under Section 7(vi). Thereafter no formal reply is available in the record as to what did happen to his request dated 9.8.2017 made to the Department by way of registered post. PIO of the concerned Branch is to make formal submission as to what action has been taken by them on the request made by the appellant dated 9.8.2017.

4. There is correspondence from the office of the Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice (Press-2 Branch) to the Address of Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime) dated 16.8.2017 and thereafter from the office of the Assistant Inspector General of Police (Crime) to the address of Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala for furnishing the necessary information.

5. The office of the Senior Superintendent of Police, Patiala submits that the matter is still under investigation with Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-2), Patiala.

6. The PIO/Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab, Department of Home Affairs and Justice (Press 2 Branch) and Senior Superintendent of Police,
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Patiala as well Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-2), Patiala are directed to file their

formal responses in this regard before the next date of hearing to take up the matter to a final conclusions.

7. To come up on 12.2.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

CC

1. The Additional Chief Secretary to Government of Punjab,

Department of Home Affairs and Justice,

(Press-2 Branch), Punjab Civil Secretariat, Chandigarh.

2. The Senior Superintendent of Police,

Patiala.

3. The Deputy Superintendent of Police,

Patiala (City-2)

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Sawaran Singh,

Village Mehmood Khane Ke, P.O. Amir Khas,

Tehsil Jalalabad West, Block Guru Harsahai,

District Fazilika-152024.

--Appellant. Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Director Land Records, Settlement and Land Acquisition, Punjab,**

**Jalandhar.**

**FAA-Director Land Records, Settlement and Land Acquisition, Punjab,**

**Jalandhar.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3189 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Swaran Singh appellant in person.

Shri Sukhwinder Singh, Junior Assistant on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 10.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 08.11.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 08.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The representative of the respondents places on record letter dated 22.12.2017 stating that there is no entry of Shri Sudagar Singh s/o Shri Mahi Singh in the P. Right Register of village Mehmood Khaneke/342, Tehsil Mukatsar, District
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Ferozepur. They have traced photocopy of first allotment of Sr. Nos. 9 and 10 of reserved land. It is mentioned that there is no record regarding Jamabandi and Kursinama of village Mehmood Khaneke. It is further mentioned in that letter that there is no more record, which has already been provided to the appellant.

4. The appellant states that earlier also he has inspected and identified the record and requested to the respondents for supply of information but they have not supplied complete information as shown by them at the time inspection.

5. The respondent-PIO is directed to supply the balance information as identified by the appellant at the time of inspection in addition to the papers already handed over to him within 15 days and make final report as to whether the record is available in their office record or not. The appellant may also contact the PIO for further interaction to decide the matter finally.

6. To come up on **12.2.2018** at **11.30 A.M.**

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Jaspal Singh

s/o Shri Ramesh Arora,

H.No.319/3, Gurdeep Nagar,

Jagraon. --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Superintendent (PCS Branch),**

**Personnel Department,**

**Punjab Civil Secretariat,**

**Chandigarh.**

**FAA-H.E. the Governor of Punjab,**

**Chandigarh.** -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No. 3180 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Jaspal Singh appellant in person.

Shri Inderjit Singh, Senior Assistant on behalf of the respondents.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 18.08.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 28.02.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 7.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The representative of the respondent-PIO relying the decision of the Hon'ble *Supreme Court that information between the employee and employer could be disclosed only if it would serve a larger public interest.* The representative of the respondent is directed to hand over a copy of the same to the complainant. The representative of the respondent-PIO hands over the same to the complainant.

Contd……p/2

**Appeal Case No. 3180 of 2017**

**-2-**

4. After hearing both the parties it has come to light that the information sought by the Complainant is third party information and also personal information as contemplated under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. As per case decided on 03.10.2012 by Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (civil no. 27734 of 2012) titled “Girish Ram Chandra Deshpande vs. CIC, New Delhi and others”, which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, the PIO is not bound to give such information In the instant appeal case also there is no public interest involved in supply of information demanded by the appellant.

5. There is hardly any justification to give personal information to the information seeker. Relying upon this judgment, Commission is satisfied with the observations of the respondent. The complainant has also failed to substantiate any public interest for divulgence of information. As such the appeal filed in the Commission is dismissed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

Shri Rajesh Jain,

B-IX-716, Gulchaman Street,

Ludhiana. --Complainant.

Vs.

**PIO/Deputy Commissioner of Police**

**o/o Commissioner of Police,**

**Ludhiana.**  -------Respondent.

**Complaint Case No. 1249 of 2017**

Present:- Shri Rajesh Jain complainant in person.

Shri Dev Raj, Inspector, on behalf of the respondent-PIO.

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 08.08.2017 vide which the complainant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. Complaint case was filed in the Commission on 7.11.2017 under Section 18 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today by the Commission.

3. The representative of the respondent-PIO has filed their written reply vide their letter dated 27.12.2017 taking the plea that Hon'ble *Supreme Court has held that information between the employee and employer could be disclosed only if it would serve a larger public interest.* The representative of the respondent is directed to hand over a copy of the same to the complainant. The representative of the respondent-PIO hands over the same to the complainant.

3. After hearing both the parties it has come to light that the information sought by the Complainant is third party information and also personal information as contemplated under Section 8(1)(j) of RTI Act. As per case decided on 03.10.2012 by
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Hon’ble Supreme Court of India in SLP (civil no. 27734 of 2012) titled “Girish Ram Chandra Deshpande vs. CIC, New Delhi and others”, which relates to personal information the disclosure of which has no relationship to any public activity or interest, the PIO is not bound to give such information In the instant appeal case also there is no public interest involved in supply of information demanded by the appellant.

4. There is hardly any justification to give personal information to the information seeker. Relying upon this judgment, Commission is satisfied with the observations of the respondent. The complainant has also failed to substantiate any public interest for divulgence of information. As such the appeal filed in the Commission is dismissed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**

**Tel. No.0172-2864100-01, Fax No.0172-2864110**

**Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com/), **Email-ID** [**pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in**](mailto:pcic20@punjabmail.gov.in)

**Shri Tarsem Lal Jindal, Neeli Chhatri Wala,**

**H.No.306, Astha Colony,**

**Dhanola Road, Barnala.**  --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Deputy Commissioner,**

**Amritsar.**

**FAA-Additional Deputy Commissioner (General),**

**Amritsar.**  -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No.3165 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

**Shri Raja Deepak Singh, Junior Assistant, AHRC on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 26.07.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 7.9.2017and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent letter dated 23.12.2017, received in the Commission on 27.12.2017, stating that he has received the information on 23.12.2017 after delay of 120 days. He further requests that penalty @ Rs.250/- per day be imposed on PIO for delay of 120 days.
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4. The representative of the respondents states that information sought by the appellant was voluminous pertaining to the years from 2010-11 to 2015-16. Concerned Branch collated the information and sent to the appellant. The   
PIO-Mr. Mukesh Verma, District Revenue Officer, Sri Amritsar Sahib, is directed to  
submit report proving his conduct as to when RTI application was received and it was dealt with due plausible reasons and decisions, if any, before the next date of hearing.

5. To come up on 12.02.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

CC

Mr. Mukesh Verma,

PIO-cum- District Revenue Officer,

Sri Amritsar Sahib

**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**Red Cross Bhawan, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg,**

**Sector: 16, Chandigarh.**
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**Shri Tarsem Lal Jindal, Neeli Chhatri Wala,**

**H.No.306, Astha Colony,**

**Dhanola Road, Barnala.**  --Appellant.

Vs.

**Public Information Officer**

**o/o Deputy Commissioner,**

**Ludhiana.**

**FAA-Additional Deputy Commissioner (General),**

**Ludhiana.**  -------Respondents.

**Appeal Case No.3177 of 2017**

Present:- None on behalf of the appellant.

**None on behalf of the respondents.**

ORDER

The RTI application is dated 26.07.2017 vide which the appellant has sought information as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (hereinafter called FAA) on 5.9.2017 and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 07.11.2017 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter called RTI Act).

2. Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today.

3. The appellant is absent but he has sent letter dated 08.12.2017, received in the Commission on 11.12.2017, stating that he has not received any information. He further states that the PIO be direct to supply complete information regarding Deputy Commissioner's office (East/West), Ludhiana and fine be imposed on them for not conveying any decision on First Appeal and for not providing information under the Right to Information Act, 2005.
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4. Today, none is present on behalf of the respondents, without any intimation. Viewing the callous and lackadaisical attitude of the PIO seriously, last opportunity is afforded to the PIO-Ms. Savita Rani, District Revenue Officer, Ludhiana to supply the information asked for by the appellant before the next date of hearing, failing which punitive action under the provisions of RTI Act, 2005 will be initiated against him.

5. To come up on 12.02.2018 at 11.30 A.M.

Sd/-

Dated : 28.12.2017 ( S.S. Channy)

Chief Information Commissioner Punjab

CC

Ms. Savita Rani,

PIO-cum- District Revenue Officer,

Ludhiana.