**PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

**Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia,

Ward No.18, Street No.2, Kartar Nagar,

Near Mann Market, Amloh Road, Khanna,

Distt. Ludhiana Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Assistant Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation, Patiala

First Appellate Authority,

O/o Commissioner,

Municipal Corporation,

Patiala. Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO.885/2017**

**Date of RTI Application : 15.10.2016** **Date of First Appeal : 15.11.2016**

**Date of order of FAA : Nil**

**Date of Second Appeal : 13.03.2017**

**Present:** Sh. Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, Appellant in person.

1. Sh.M.M.Syal, PIO – cum - XEN, O/o M.C., Patiala, and

2. Sh. Ashok Vij, Law Officer, MC Office, Patiala – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

This is a very old case. The appellant vide his RTI application dated 15.10.2016, has sought the following information:

*1. Certified copy of pages of the register showing the details of the M.B. Books issued/allotted to which SO/JE or other officials from the period of 1.1.2012 till date of the Municipal Corporation, Patiala.*

*2. Certified copy of pages of the register showing the details of all the MB Books which were deposited after being completed by which SO/JE or other officials to whom it was allotted from the period of 1.1.2012 till date of the Municipal Corporation, Patiala.*

*3. Certified copy of the detail of all the MB Books which are in use/opened with which SO/JE or other officials on date of the Municipal Corporation, Patiala.*

*4. Certified copy of the detailed list in regards which MB Books are missing/non-traceable from past ten years and to whom those MB Books were allotted.*

*5. Official Email ID of the subjected public authority.*

*6. Certified copy of the name and designation of the APIO’s with their official contract numbers. Also supply the contact number of the Municipal Corporation, Patiala.*
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**APPEAL CASE NO.885/2017**

Vide their communication dated 15.11.2016 the respondents supplied him the information sans the Point Nos.3 and 4 which was supplied at a later date. The bone of contention has been Point No. 4 which related to the missing of a measurement book No.618. The respondents informed the appellant vide letter dated 27.11.2017 that M.B. No.618 was found to be missing and the higher authorities were informed of the same. The respondent says that he had taken charge of the M.C., Patiala on 03.06.2017 and the delay in providing the information of a particular point cannot be attributed to him. While accepting the plea of the respondents, the Commission feels that the loss of an M.B. is a serious issue and should not have been taken lightly. The Commissioner of the Municipal Corporation is desired to have the matter probed within a month and intimate as to what action has been taken for the loss of this vital record failing which the Commission shall be constrained to take a view that the aforesaid plea has been taken to suppress an information with malafide intent.

To come up on **13.03.2018 at 11.30 AM.**

**Sd/-**

**26.12.2017 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

**State Information Commissioner**

**CC: The Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Patiala, for n/a. PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION**

**RED CROSS BUILDING, SECTOR-16, MADHYA MARG, CHANDIGRH**

**Tele No. 0172-2864112, FAX No. 0172-2864125, Visit us @** [**www.infocommpunjab.com**](http://www.infocommpunjab.com)

**Emaiil:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in**

Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Advocate,

# 258, M.D.C. Sector-4,

Panchkula (Haryana) Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,

O/o Senior Supdt. of Police,

S.A.S. Nagar.

First Appellate Authority

O/o Senior Supdt. of Police,

S.A.S. Nagar Respondents

**APPEAL CASE NO.2780/2017**

Date of RTI application : 26.07.2017

Date of First Appeal : 29.08.2017

Date of Order of FAA : Nil

Date of 2nd Appeal/complaint :04.10.2017

**Present:** Sh. Mukesh Sharma, Appellant in person.

ASI Ravinder Singh, RTI Cell, SSP Office, Mohali – for Respondents.

**ORDER**

The Commission has made the following observations on 30.11.2017:

*“The appellant acknowledges the receipt of information to his satisfaction. He complains that there has been significant delay in providing the information and pleads with the Commission to impose a penalty for the inordinate delay in having provided the information. The respondents are directed to explain the delay in writing before the next date of hearing.”*

The case has come up today. The PIO has submitted in writing that, by and large, they have been prompt in timely supplying the information but in the instant case the response was delayed due to their occupation in the law and order duties. Besides, they submit that the issue in hand concerns an altercation between the neigbours and no public interest is involved. Be that as it is the respondents are advised to be more diligent and watchful in future. The Commission does not see any malafide in the delay thus caused. The appeal is filed.

**Disposed.**

**Sd/-**

**26.12.2017 (Yashvir Mahajan)**

**State Information Commissioner**