Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Manjit Singh, (99142-00995)

S/o Shri Santa Singh, Narang Colony, Street No. 3, # 10330, Near Gurmukh Dholi, Sri Muktsar Sahib - 152026

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o XEN, Panchayati Raj, PWD,
Moga.
First Appellate Authority,
O/o S.E. Panchayati Raj, PWD

O/o S.E, Panchayati Raj, PWD, Bathinda.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7823 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Rajesh, XEN (97800-20165) and

Shri Karanvir Singh, Superintendent (88472-73877)

ORDER:

Date: 15.10.2025

The RTI application is dated **03.08.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **30.09.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **15.10.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that the appellant has not deposited the requisite fee, as demanded from him. Hence, the information has not been provided.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and examining the case file, it has been observed that the appellant has demanded information through his RTI application dated 03.08.2023 and the respondent has demanded the requisite fee from the appellant vide letter dated 17.08.2023, which is within the time period, as determined by the RTI Act, 2005. Hence, the appellant is directed to deposit the requisite fee, as demanded from him and obtain the information, if he so desires.
- 5. With this, the appeal case filed by the appellant is **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Suresh Kumar Satija, (92161-00174)

S/o Shri Jai Narain Satija, Street No. 9, Nai Abadi Abohar, District Fazilka - 152116 RTI Application No. 92656

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** Director, Agriculture, Punjab, Sector – 56, Mohali.

First Appellate Authority, O/o Director, Agriculture, Punjab, Sector – 56, Mohali.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7826 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: Shri Kulwant Singh, APIO (95923-90526),

Shri Iqbal Singh, Superintendent (99145-28122), and

Shri Daljit Kumar, SA (94787-15743)

ORDER:

Date: 15.10.2025

The RTI application is dated **03.10.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **08.11.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **15.10.2025**.

- 2. The respondent states that a reply has already been provided to the appellant on 27.10.2023 mentioning therein that the sought information is in the question form and cannot be answered, as per the provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. After having detailed deliberations with the respondent and going through the RTI application filed by the appellant, it is observed that this RTI application is in the question form. At the outset, the Commission observes that under the provisions of the RTI Act 2005, only such information as is available and existing and held by the public authority or is under control of the public authority can be provided. The PIO is not supposed to create information.
- 5. Keeping in view the facts of the case and the submissions made by the respondent, no further intervention of the Commission is required in the matter. The appeal stands **disposed of and closed** accordingly. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Shri Surinder Singh, (98554-57620) S/o Shri Darshan singh, R/o Village Sidhwan Bet, Tehsil Jagraon, District Ludhiana – 142033

...Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer, **O/o** SSP, Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o DIGP, Faridkot Range, Faridkot.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7851 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Absent

Respondent: ASI Balvir Singh (97800-02170)

ORDER:

The RTI application is dated **16.06.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **28.07.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **15.10.2025**.

- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by ASI Balvir Singh, states that the sought information has already been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 18.07.2025 and no deficiency has been pointed out by the appellant. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 3. The appellant is absent without intimation. It is also a fact that notice of hearing was sent to him through registered post but even though he is absent, which seems his absence is intentional and willful. The appellant has not submitted any written submission and nor has he opted to be present at today's hearing. The prima facie appears that the appellant does not intend to pursue the case and he has nothing to say in this regard.
- 4. The appeal is accordingly **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab

Date: 15.10.2025

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864113, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic22@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Versus

Shri Gurprakash Singh Gill, (98039-04939) S/o Shri Nikar Singh, S/o Shri Darbara Singh, R/o Patti Akal, Village Mahina, Tehsil Moga - 142011

...Appellant

Public Information Officer, **O/o** XEN, PSPCL, Sabarwan, District Moga.

First Appellate Authority, O/o XEN, PSPCL, Sabarwan, District Moga.

...Respondents

APPEAL CASE NO. 7872 OF 2023

PRESENT: Appellant: Shri Gurprakash Singh Gill (98039-04939),

Respondent: Shri Pal Singh, SDO (96461-14576), and Shri Amir Kumar, JE (96461-15004)

ORDER:

Date: 15.10.2025

The RTI application is dated **28.06.2023** vide which the appellant has sought the information as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **01.08.2023** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.11.2023** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005 (hereinafter RTI Act). Notice of hearing was issued to the parties for today i.e. **15.10.2025**.

- 2. The appellant states that he has received incomplete information from the respondent.
- 3. The respondent states that a point wise reply along with information has already been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 29.08.2025. Copy of the same is taken on record.
- 4. However, the appellant is not satisfied with the same. After going through the reply filed by the respondent and hearing both the parties, it is ascertained that the respondent has provided appropriate and permissible reply to the appellant. Further, it has also been ascertained that this is a case of grievance of the appellant who has attempted to find redressal thereof by filing second appeal in the Commission. The perception of the appellant that filing of second appeal under RTI Act can be used as a mechanism for redressal of his grievance is beyond the paradigm of the Act. The Commission advises the appellant to approach the competent forum or Court for redressal of his grievance as it is unable to go outside the jurisdiction stipulated by the RTI Act.
- 5. In wake of aforementioned, this appeal case is hereby devoid of merit and hence **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-(Virinderjit Singh Billing) State Information Commissioner Punjab