

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

Sh. Muni Lal S/o Sh. Ganpat Rai,
VPO : Ayali Kalan, Ludhiana

.....Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Punjab State Electricity Board,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

CC No.1/2005

ORDER

Present Sh. Shakti Prasad, Revenue Superintendent on behalf of Public Information Officer, Executive Engineer, PSEB, Ludhiana. None is present on behalf of the complainant.

Respondent states that as per order of the Commission dated 2nd March, 2006, the complainant had given an affidavit regarding his ownership of the premises in which the disputed electricity meter is installed. Respondent states that PSEB has duly restored the electricity meter in the name of the complainant. The respondent places on record copies of the relevant documents to this effect.

The complainant's grouse is, therefore, mitigated. The order of the Commission dated 2nd March, 2006 has thus been complied with.

The case is accordingly closed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

Sh. Raghbir Singh
Under Secretary Retd,
H.No. 1200, Phase 3B2,
Mohali.

.....Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer,
O/o Financial Commissioner Revenue,
Govt. of Punjab, Civil Secretariat,
Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

CC No.10/2005

ORDER

Present Sh. Raghbir Singh in person and Mrs. Shakuntla Devi, Under Secretary on behalf of the Public Information Officer, Financial Commissioner Revenue, GOP, Chandigarh.

The respondent states in writing as under:-

"It is submitted in this connection that application of Sh. Raghubir Singh, Under Secretary (Retd.) of this Secretariat was duly considered in consultation with legal experts and was accordingly decided not to supply him copies of official documents."

The complainant submits before me an application alongwith an affidavit pleading that the respondent is not justified in rejecting his demand for supply of information.

A copy of the submission of the complainant is given to the respondent. The respondent is directed to give reasons in writing for the refusal of supply of information. A copy of these reasons be also sent to the complainant.

The case to come up for arguments on 2nd May, 2006. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

M/s Doaba Hotelier Ltd.,
Jalandhar

.....Complainant

Vs.

The Chairman,
Improvement Trust, Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

CC No.24/2006

ORDER

Present Sh. Jagat Narayan, Advocate for Improvement Trust, Jalandhar. None is present on behalf of the complainant.

In his letter dated 16th March, 2006 the complainant alleges that the information given to him by the Improvement Trust, Jalandhar is incomplete.

A copy of the letter of the complainant is delivered to the respondent through his advocate. Respondent to submit his response to this letter within one month. To come up on 15th June, 2006 for further proceedings. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated :11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

Resurgence India,
Ludhiana

.....Complainant

Vs.

State Public Information Officer
O/o Sr. Superintendent of Police,
Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

AC No.1/2006

ORDER

None is present on behalf of either the complainant or the respondent. In his letter dated 25th March, 2006 the complainant has stated that respondent SPIO has provided the desired information to him.

No further action being necessary, the case is closed. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated :11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

Sh. Gurdeep Chand,
S/o Sh. Ujagar Ram,
Village Chomon, Block Adampur,
Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Complainant

Vs.

The Sarpanch,
Gram Panchayat, Village Chomon,
Block Adampur, Distt. Jalandhar.

.....Respondent

CC No.5/2006

ORDER

Present Sh. Damandeep Singh on behalf of Sarpanch, Gram Panchayat, Village Chomon. None is present on behalf of the complainant.

The respondent states that the complainant has not visited its office for obtaining the information demanded by him. The respondent is prepared to give the information. Copies of the relevant extracts of resolutions of the Gram Panchayat demanded by the complainant are submitted by the respondent before me. These may be sent to the complainant. The case is disposed of accordingly. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

SCO No. 84-85, Sector 17-C, CHANDIGARH.

Resurgence India,
Ludhiana

.....Complainant

Vs.

Public Information Officer
O/o Principal Secretary,
Local Govt., GOP, Chandigarh.

.....Respondent

CC No.4/2006

ORDER

Present Sh. Anil Kumar, Superintendent & Sh. Daljit Singh Sandhu, Law Officer, Department of Local Govt. for the respondent. None is present on behalf of the complainant.

The complainant is given one final opportunity to make his submission. To come up for final hearing on 12th May, 2006. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated :11.4.2006

Chief Information Commissioner
Punjab State Information Commissioner