

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh.

Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com,
Email: psic21@punjabmail.gov.in, RTI Helpline - 0172-2864100



FINAL ORDER

Sh Vinod Sharma,
3613, Sector-23-D,
Chandigarh.

Versus

Public Information Officer-cum-DTP,
PUDA Bhawan, 7th Floor, Block A,
SAS Nagar (Mohali).
Public Information Officer
O/o Greater Mohali Area Development Authority,
Sector-62, Mohali.

Complaint Case No. 334 of 2023

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent

Neelam Rani, Planning Officer (for the Respondent) 94642-50244

Bhupinder Kaur, ADO (for the Respondent)

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 20.12.2023)

1. The complainant, **Vinod Sharma**, filed this RTI application dated 4.2.2023 and sought information regarding:

"1.Details of actual geographical areas covered and considered as 'Urban Estate or Urban Area of Mohali.

2. Whether New Chandigarh is covered and considered as 'Urban Estate of Mohali'? If yes, when was it notified as 'Urban Estate or Urban Area of Mohali'?

3. Certified copies of documents in support of para 1 and 2 above."

from the PIO o/o **GMADA, Mohali**. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005 to the Commission on **22.5.2023**. The case was last heard on **20.12.2023**.

2. The complainant is absent. The respondent PIO, represented by Smt. Neelam Rani, Planning Officer and Smt. Bhupinder Kaur, ADO, have as directed at the last Hearing, provided a fresh reply to the RTI application vide Letter No. 191 Dated 26.12.2023, along with a copy of Government of Punjab Notification No. 12/43/2006-4HG-1/7403 Dated 14.8.2006. Smt. Neelam Rani, is directed to provide a copy of said reply and Notification, after due attestation, to the complainant, Sh. Vinod Sharma, forthwith.

3. This is a Complaint Case submitted to the Commission under Section 18 of the RTI Act, 2005. I am however, of the considered view that there was no malafide intent on the part of the respondent PIO in denying / delaying the information, which has now been provided. There is no further cause for action and the Complaint is accordingly **Disposed of**.

Sd/-
(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.
Chandigarh
27.12.2023