Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

## Karnail Singh, # 704 B, Sector-33 B,

Chandigarh.

Versus

### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Director, Department of Finance, Treasury and Accounts Branch, Plot No. 2 A, Sector-33 A, Chandigarh. First Appellate Authority, O/o Director, Department of Finance, Treasury and Accounts Branch, Plot No. 2 A, Sector-33 A, Chandigarh.

## Appeal Case No. 2818 of 2019

#### PRESENT:

Karnail Singh (Appellant) 94639-15508 Archana, Senior Assistant (for the Respondent) 97792-85272

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 6.919, 16.10.19, and 28.11.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.3.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding Departmental Promotion Committees for the post of DCFA etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **9.5.19** and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **6.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **28.11.19**, wherein the respondent PIO was directed to furnish an affidavit stating that there is no further record pertaining to this RTI application and appeal case in the custody of the Treasury and Accounts Branch of the Department of Finance.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Senior Assistant, Archana, requested some more time to submit the affidavit as directed by this Commission. This is on the plea that the PIO has been on long leave owing to a death in his/her family.
- 3. The respondent PIO is granted time until 10.1.20 to furnish the afore-stated affidavit, following which this appeal case will be closed.
- 4. Next hearing on 10.1.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

### Vineet Garg,

s/o Santosh Kumar Garg, # HL-409, Jamalpur Colony, Ludhiana – 141 010

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Police Station Focal Point, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 3031 of 2019

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

#### ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 24.10.19 and 12.12.19)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **27.2.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> way of the CCTV footage of <u>Police Station Focal Point Ludhiana for the night intervening 18.1.19</u> and 19.1.19, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **20.8.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **12.12.19**, wherein the
- 2. The appellant is absent. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, has, as directed at the last hearing on 12.12.19, submitted a copy of the Notarized Affidavit. The original affidavit was handed over to the appellant Vineet Garg in Ludhiana on 13.12.19.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this appeal case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Naresh Sekhri, #1906/89, Street No. 1-B, Basant Nagar, Near Baghi Stand, New Shimlapuri,

Ludhiana-141003

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, New Courts Complex, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner of Ludhiana, New Courts Complex, Ferozepur Road, Ludhiana-141001.

## Appeal Case No. 3884 of 2019

#### PRESENT:

Naresh Sekhri (Appellant) 70875-55500 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Gurdiyal Singh, ASI (for the Respondent) 98158-00325

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **24.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding Madhu Sekri's complaint (No. 658) submitted to Police Station Daba on **20.2.18**, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **17.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.</u>
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh from the office of Commissioner Police Ludhiana and ASI Gurdiyal Singh of Police Station Daba, have submitted that the complaint (No. 658 of 20.2.18) referred to in this RTI application, is not traceable and an enquiry has been initiated in this connection on 24.12.19.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to furnish an attested copy of the letter vide which the aforesaid enquiry was initiated. He must also submit a Notarized Affidavit stating that the above mentioned complaint (658 of 20.2.18) is untraceable and not in his custody.

## 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Dakha, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Inspector General, Ludhiana Range, Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 3907 of 2019

#### PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Baljeet Singh, ASI, Police Station Dakha (for the Respondent) 85560-19234

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> <u>way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Dakha since 2010</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh from the office of Police Commissioner Ludhiana and ASI Baljeet Singh of Police Station Dakha, have submitted that the information sought by the appellant is far too voluminous and would entail a disproportionate diversion of resources. However, as pointed out by the appellant he has only sought details of cases registered in the Police Station since 2010 and has not asked for copies of FIRs etc.
- 3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish attested copies of the monthly reports sent by the Police Station to the SSP's office, office detailing crime figures. This information must be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to this Commission, before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 3908 of 2019

#### PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Malkeet Singh, SI, Div. No. 6 (for the Respondent) 92728-80032

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> <u>way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 6 since 2010</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh from the office of the Police Commissioner Ludhiana and Sub Inspector Malkiat Singh of Police Station Division No.6, Ludhiana, have submitted that the information sought by the appellant is far too voluminous and would entail a disproportionate diversion of resources. However, as pointed out by the appellant he has only sought details of cases registered in the Police Station since 2010 and has not asked for copies of FIRs etc.
- 3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish attested copies of the monthly reports sent by the Police Station to the SSP's office, office detailing crime figures. This information must be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to this Commission, before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### Appeal Case No. 3909 of 2019

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Harbans Lal, ASI, Div. No.4 (for the Respondent) 98143-86481

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> <u>way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 4 since 2010</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh from the office of the Police Commissioner Ludhiana and ASI Harbans Lal of Police Station Division No. 4, Ludhiana, have submitted that the information sought by the appellant is far too voluminous and would entail a disproportionate diversion of resources. However, as pointed out by the appellant he has only sought details of cases registered in the Police Station since 2010 and has not asked for copies of FIRs etc.
- 3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish attested copies of the monthly reports sent by the Police Station to the SSP's office, office detailing crime figures. This information must be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to this Commission, before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### **Appeal Case No. 3910 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> <u>way of all cases registered in Police Stations under the Ludhiana Police Commissionerate</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh, has submitted that the information requested by the appellant is far too voluminous and would mean a disproportionate diversion of public resources. However, this Commission feels that the information requested by the appellant is all contained within the crime data generated in the Ludhiana Police Commissionerate on regular basis and so not difficult to retrieve and furnish.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to retrieve this data and furnished it to the appellant. In case such data/information is, as should be, available on on the district or state police website, the appellant can be informed so he can access it.

### 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### **Appeal Case No. 3911 of 2019**

#### PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> <u>regarding personal and service details of one Sub Inspector Madhu Bala.</u> as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector, Pawandev Singh, sought exemption from disclosure on the plea that the information pertains to personal / third party information pertaining to a particular police officer.
- 3. This Commission is however, of the view that the information requested by the appellant at point no. 1, 2, and 7 of his RTI application are not exempt with the exception of documents like the above mentioned officer's caste certificate (if any) and any income tax returns, if submitted at the time of her appointment. The remaining information points 3, 4, 5 and 6 is exempt as per the respondent's contention.
- 4. The respondent PIO is directed to bring the information requested by the appellant at points 1, 2, and 7, to the next hearing of this appeal case. The respondent PIO must also inform the aforesaid 'third party', Sub Inspector Madhu Bala regarding this RTI request, so that she can be given an opportunity to be also heard at the next hearing.
- 5. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

## Jagshir Singh,

s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

### **Public Information Officer.**

o/o Director General of Police (Administration), Punjab Police Headquarters, Chandigarh. **First Appellate Authority,** o/o Director General of Police (Administration), Punjab Police Headquarters, Chandigarh.

### **Appeal Case No. 3912 of 2019**

#### PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **29.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information</u> regarding personal and service details of one Sub Inspector Sulakhan Singh. as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector, Pawandev Singh, sought exemption from disclosure on the plea that the information pertains to personal / third party information pertaining to a particular police officer.
- 3. This Commission is however, of the view that the information requested by the appellant with regard to date of appointment, documents submitted to gain employment in the police force and salary details etc. are not exempt with the exception of documents like the above mentioned officer's caste certificate (if any) and any income tax returns, if submitted at the time of her appointment. The remaining information is exempt as per the respondent's contention.
- 4. The respondent PIO is directed to bring the information requested by the appellant date of appointment, documents submitted to gain employment in the police force and salary details etc. to the next hearing of this appeal case. The respondent PIO must also inform the aforesaid 'third party', Sub Inspector Sulakhan Singh regarding this RTI request, so that he can be given an opportunity to be also heard at the next hearing.
- 5. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

Jagshir Singh, s/o Gian Singh, #9/20, Mandi Mullanpur, District Ludhiana – 141 101

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Deputy Commissioner of Police, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Police Commissioner, Ludhiana.

### **Appeal Case No. 3913 of 2019**

PRESENT:

Jagshir Singh (Appellant) 81958-00345 Pawandev Singh, Sub Inspector (for the Respondent) 78887-41866 Shingara Singh, Head Constable, PS Division No. 8 (for the Respondent) 94637-95985

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **8.7.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> way of a list the FIRs registered at Police Station Division No. 8 since 2010 as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO represented by Sub Inspector Pawandev Singh from the office of the Police Commissioner Ludhiana and ASI Harbans Lal of Police Station Division No. 8, Ludhiana, have submitted that the information sought by the appellant is far too voluminous and would entail a disproportionate diversion of resources. However, as pointed out by the appellant he has only sought details of cases registered in the Police Station since 2010 and has not asked for copies of FIRs etc.
- 3. This Commission directs the respondent PIO to furnish attested copies of the monthly reports sent by the Police Station to the SSP's office, office detailing crime figures. This information must be furnished to the appellant, with a copy to this Commission, before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner
Chandigarh
26.12.19

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111,



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

# Garib Singh,

s/o Narata Singh, Village Tangori, P.O: Mote Majra, Tehsil & District Mohali-140306

Versus

### **Public Information Officer,**

o/o Station House Officer, Police Station Sohana, Sector-79, S.A.S Nagar, District Mohali. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Superintendent of Police (City-2) Sector-62, Phase-8, Mohali-160062

## Appeal Case No. 3915 of 2019

#### PRESENT:

Avtar Singh (for the Appellant) 98768-51368 (Respondent) Absent

#### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated **2.8.19** vide which the appellant has sought <u>information by</u> way of a copy of the FIR in the attack on Jagtar Singh Pradhan of village Tangori by <u>Jagroop Singh and Rajinder Singh of village Kardi</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on **18.10.19** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on **26.12.19**.
- 2. The respondent PIO is absent without any intimation to this Commission. The appellant is represented by Avatar Singh.
- 3. Since this is the first hearing of this appeal case, the respondent PIO, SHO, Police Station, Mohali, is granted one more opportunity to appear in person at the next hearing with a written reply to this Commission's Notice of 25.11.19 and an attested copy of the requested information i.e. a copy of the FIR in the case referred to in this RTI application. Failing this, this Commission will be compelled to initiate penal action against him under the RTI Act.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111.



Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in

### Karnail Singh,

s/o Late Sarwan Singh, # 106, Street No. 4, Sidhu Colony Bhadson Road, Patiala.

Versus

Public Information Officer, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector- 9 C, Chandigarh. First Appellate Authority, o/o Director General of Police, Punjab, Sector-9 C, Chandigarh.

## **Appeal Case No. 3922 of 2019**

#### PRESENT:

Karnail Singh (Appellant) Maninder Singh, Constable (for the Respondent) 94639-52430 Manmehak Pal Singh, Constable (for the Respondent) 84373-02403

### ORDER:

- 1. The RTI application is dated 14.5.19 vide which the appellant has sought information by way of copies of original promotion letters of DSPs mentioned in Letter No. 1/34/2016-311/dsp dated 21.4.16, as enumerated in his RTI application. First appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) and second appeal was filed in the Commission on 18.10.19 under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. Notice was issued to the parties for first hearing in the Commission on 26.12.19.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Constable, Maninder Singh from the office of the DGP Punjab, has said that he was not clear as to the specific request made in this RTI application. The appellant Karnail Singh is requested to submit a written clarification to the respondent with a copy to this Commission, before the end of working hours today.
- 3. The respondent PIO is directed to retrieve the information requested as per the RTI application and the clarification given today and furnish this to the appellant before the next hearing.
- 4. Next hearing on 14.2.20 at 11.00 am.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner