

PUNJABSTATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



S. JasbirSingh, M : 9888296107

Village Bolapur.Jhabewal,
Post Office Ramgarh,
District Ludhiana
Versus

.....Appellant/Complainant

Public Information Officer

O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana.

.....Respondent

First Appellate Authority

O/o SSP, Khanna, Distt Ludhiana...

Appeal Case No.: 1508 of 2020

Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: ASIRoor Singh

ORDER

1. This case may be read with the reference to previous order dated **21.10.2020**.
2. Respondent, RoorSingh pleaded that sought information has been sent to the appellant through post dated 21.12.2020.
3. Telephonically, appellant S.Jasbir Singh has acknowledged the same and has requested to close the case.
4. In the View of above, the case is **disposed of** and **closed**.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

(Dr.PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJABSTATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.SatwinderSingh (9872212926)

S/o Sh.Sukhwinder Singh
Village Kalar, POGujjarpura,
TehsilBatala,
District Gurdaspur-143507

Appellant
Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o DSP (Crime against Property)
Daburji, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority

O/o IGP, Amritsar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1802 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Sh.Satwinder Singh
Respondent: ASI, Harpal Singh (9780016624)

ORDER

1. This order may be read in the reference of previous order dated 26.10.2020.
2. Respondent, Sh. Harpal Singh pleaded that the sought information has been provide to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged the receiving in written. A copy of the same is received by the bench of undersigned via email dated 21.12.2020. This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. On this, appellant Sh.Satwinder Singh contended that the concerned department has misleded the Commission by telling that the sought information under exemption of section 8(h) of RTI Act 2005 on last last hearing i.e 26.10.2020 and subsequently provide the same after a long harassment.
4. In the view of above observations and with regard to the prayer of the appellantthe concerned PIO are warned to be careful with the timeline and refrain from delaying replies.
As the information stands supplied hence, the appeal is **disposed of** accordingly.
5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

Sd/-
(Dr.PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJABSTATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.ManjitSingh (93936100069)

S/o Sh.Gurcharan Singh,
Village Manemajara, Tehsil-Chamkaur Sahib,
District Ropar

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer

O/o General Manager,
Punjab Roadways, Batala,
District Gurdaspur

First Appellate Authority

O/o Director, State Transport,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1803 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Absent
Respondent: Sh.Leela Singh (APIO)

ORDER

1. This order may be read in the reference of previous order dated 26.10.2020.
2. Respondent, Sh. Leela Singh states that the sought information has been provided to the appellant through post dated 04.12.2020.
3. Respondent, Sh. Manjit Singh is absent.
4. As the information stands supplied hence no cause of action is left in this case , therefore the appeal is **disposed of** accordingly.
6. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

(Dr.PawanKumar Singla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh.Trilochansingh (9463658200)

S/o Sh.Gurbachan Singh,
R/o 848-A, MIG Jamalpur,
Ludhiana

Appellant/Complainant

Versus

Public Information Officer/APIO

O/o Secretary, Public Relation & Information,
Punjab, Chandigarh

First Appellate Authority

O/o Secretary, Public Relation & Information,
Punjab, Chandigarh

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 1838 of 2020
Cisco Webex Proceedings

Present: **Appellant** : Absent

Respondent: Sh.Manvinder Singh (Deputy Director)(9815916179)

ORDER:

1. This order may be read in the reference of previous order dated 26.10.2020 vide which both the parties were absent and the Commission gave a last opportunity to both parties to appear on the next date of hearing positively, failing to which case will be decided on the merit basis. In addition, the respondent PIO was directed to supply the requisite information and/or written reply satisfying the RTI application to the appellant by the next date of hearing. Accordingly, the case is fixed for today i.e. 22.12.2020.
2. Respondent Sh. Manvinder Singh pleaded that the sought information has been supplied to the appellant dated 01.07.2020. A copy of which is received by the bench of undersigned vide diary no. 17239 dated 27.11.2020. This letter is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. Appellant, Sh.Trilochan singh is not present for today's hearing but an email dated 22.12.2020 has been received by the bench of undersigned vide which the appellant states that no information has been provided. In addition he had requested to impose penalty of Rs. 25,000/-.
4. In view of the above observations, the PIO is directed to provide an **additional reply to the appellant on all of the points raised in the RTI application.**

With regard to the prayer of the appellant for imposing penalty upon the PIO, the Commission does not find any malafide intention on the part of the PIO in denying the information to the appellant and hence the question of imposition of any penalty does not arise.

Moreover, the Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**. The appeal is **disposed of** accordingly.

5. Announced in the Court, copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

(Dr.PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJABSTATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Sh. BhupinderSinghBedi
S/o S. DhianSingh, # 1-2,
Fateh Singh Colony, Main
Bharariwal Road, Amritsar -143009.

Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer
O/oThe Commissioner,
Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
10 Court Road, Amritsar

First Appellate Authority
O/oThe Commissioner,
Excise & Taxation Commissioner,
10 Court Road, Amritsar

Respondent

Appeal Case No.: 3612 of 2019
CISCO WEBEX Proceedings

Present: **Appellant** : Absent
Respondent: Ms. Supnandan Deep (APIO)

ORDER

1.This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 27.10.2020 vide which the commission ordered the respondent authority compensate Rs. 3,000/- (Three Thousand Only) to the appellant for detriment suffered. Accordingly the matter adjourned for today i.e. 22.12.2020.

2. Respondent, Ms. Supnandan Deep is present for today's though VC hearing and has done a written submission vide diary no. 17699 dated 04.12.2020, which is attached below:-

ਉਪਰੋਕਤ ਵਿਸ਼ੇ ਦੇ ਸਬੰਧ ਵਿੱਚ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਸਹਿਤ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ, ਕਿ ਅਪੀਲ ਕਰਤਾ ਸ੍ਰੀ ਭੁਪਿੰਦਰ ਸਿੰਘ ਬੇਦੀ, ਹਾਊਸ ਨੰ:1-2 ਫਤਹਿ ਸਿੰਘ ਕਲੋਨੀ, ਮੇਨ ਭੈਰੀਵਾਲ ਰੋਡ, ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਇਸ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਨੂੰ ਆਰ.ਟੀ. ਆਈ. ਐਕਟ 2005 ਤਹਿਤ ਕੋਈ ਵੀ ਬਿਨੈ ਪੱਤਰ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਨਹੀਂ ਹੋਈ ਹੈ। ਫਿਰ ਵੀ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੇ ਦਫ਼ਤਰ ਤੋਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਹੁਕਮ ਮਿਤੀ: 27.10.2020 ਨੂੰ ਮੁੱਖ ਰਖਦੇ ਹੋਏ, ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਨਾਲ ਸਪੱਰਕ ਕਰਨ ਤੇ ਪਤਾ ਲੱਗਿਆ ਹੈ, ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਫਰਮ ਮੈਸ. ਤਰਸੇਮ ਕੁਮਾਰ ਕੰਟਰੇਕਟਰ (03AAEAT3176F1Z0) ਟੋਲ ਫਾਰ ਡਿਵੈਲਪਮੈਂਟ ਸ਼ਹੀਦ ਮਦਨ ਲਾਲ ਢੀਂਗਰਾ,ਬੱਸ ਟਰਮੀਨਲ ਅੰਮ੍ਰਿਤਸਰ, ਸਬੰਧੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਮੰਗੀ ਸੀ। ਇਹ ਫਰਮ Centre Goods & Service Tax, Jurisdiction Jalandhar, Amritsar-II, (Range-II) ਨਾਲ ਸਬੰਧਿਤ ਹੈ, ਇਸ ਦੇ ਪਰੂਫ ਵਜੋਂ ਦਸਤਾਵੇਜ਼ ਇਸ ਪੱਤਰ ਨਾਲ ਨੱਥੀ ਹਨ। ਆਪ ਜੀ ਨੂੰ ਬੇਨਤੀ ਸਹਿਤ ਦੱਸਿਆ ਜਾਂਦਾ ਹੈ ਕਿ ਪ੍ਰਾਰਥੀ ਵੱਲੋਂ ਮੰਗੀ ਗਈ ਸੂਚਨਾ Centre Jurisdiction (Jalandhar, Amritsar-II), (Range-II) ਵੱਲੋਂ ਪ੍ਰਾਪਤ ਕੀਤੀ ਜਾ ਸਕਦੀ ਹੈ। ਇਹ ਆਪ ਜੀ ਦੀ ਸੂਚਨਾ ਅਤੇ ਅਗਲੇਰੀ ਕਾਰਵਾਈ ਹਿੱਤ ਪੇਸ਼ ਹੈ ਜੀ।

3. Keeping in view the facts of the case and submission made by the Respondent authority, no further intervention of the Commission is warranted in the matter. The APIO, submitted that the sought information does not relate to the office of E& T Commissioner, Amritsar and had made written submission of the same. In view of the same Compensation proceeding for detriment sufferings of the appellant and noncompliance of Commission's order cannot be taken against the PIO office of E& T Commissioner, Amritsar. Hence the **Compensation proceedings are dropped against them.** Accordingly the case is **disposed of** and **closed.**

4. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

Sd/-
(Dr.PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner

PUNJAB STATE INFORMATION COMMISSION
RedCrossBuilding, Near RoseGarden,
Sector 16, Chandigarh.
Ph: 0172-2864115, Email: - psic25@punjabmail.gov.in
Visit us: - www.infocommpunjab.com



Smt. ArchanaSudha, (9815393333)
D/o Shri Kashmir Singh,
C/o AlkaChatrath, # 2055,
Sector 15 C, Chandigarh.Appellant/Complainant
Versus

Public Information Officer (By Name)
O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO)
O/o C-DAC, Mohali

Respondent

First Appellate Authority
O/o DPI (SE), PB, Mohali

Appeal Case No.: 374 of 2020
CISCO WEBEX Proceedings

Present: Appellant: Advocate, AlkaChatrath on behalf of the appellant Smt. ArchanaSudha
Respondent :Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO, O/o C-DAC) (7696089707)
Sh. Varinder, Ms. DimppiDhir (O/o DPI)

ORDER

1. This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 15.09.2020 vide which respondent PIOs from the office of DPI (SE) Office and C-DAC Office were directed to coordinate and supply the reply/information relates with Ms. Jyoti Bala (draft details, date of counseling and copy of scrutiny form) as per RTI application to the appellant before the next date of hearing. Matter was adjourned for further hearing on 22.12.2020 i.e. today.
2. **Written Submission done by Respondent** (O/o DPI, Mohali) :
An email dated 21.12.2020 is received by the bench of undersigned vide which with the compliance of the previous order the information pertaining to Jyoti Bala's date of counseling, her copy of scrutiny form, her merit, her result of selection and appointment has been mentioned. This email is taken on record with all supporting enclosures.
3. Respondent, Sh. Daljeet Singh Jolly (PIO, O/o C-DAC) has made his submission pertaining to Jyoti Bala's draft details. He pleaded that **"the drafts (DD) were received by CDAC in large volume (in lakhs) and same were deposited to C-DAC, accountant in bulk. It was not possible to reconcile each draft by linking with each application and none of the candidate who had applied online was rejected on the basis of application fee"**
4. After going through the case file thoroughly and in the view of submissions made the respondent authority Commission finds that the RTI application has been **suitably replied** and the information has been supplied to the **best extent**.
Therefore, no cause of action is required in this case. Hence, the instant appeal case is **disposed & closed**.
5. Copy of the order to be sent to the parties.

Chandigarh
Dated: 22.12.2020

Sd/-
(Dr. PawanKumarSingla)
State Information Commissioner