Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Parshotam Dass,

President Lok Sewa Club, # 94, City Homes Colony, Near Spring Dale School, Khanna-141401.

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council Jagraon District Ludhiana.

Complaint Case No. 742 of 2021 (Cisco Webex Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Complainant) Absent Gourav Bhalla, Billing Clerk (for the Respondent) 97808-00134

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.9.2021**)

- 1. The complainant, Parshotam Dass Bansal, filed this RTI application dated 6.3.2021 and sought information <u>regarding Birth and Death Certificates</u>, from the PIO o/o Executive Officer, Municipal Council, Jagraon. When no information was received, the Complainant filed a complaint under Section 18 of the RTI Act 2005 to the Commission on 9.6.2021. The case was last heard on 21.9.2021.
- 2. The complainant is absent but has informed the Commission that he has received the requested information vide Letter No. 2186 Dated 14.12.2021, by registered post on 20.12.2021.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Complaint Case is herewith CLOSED.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 21.12.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Prabhjot Singh (advocate) Chaber No. 100, 1st Floor, District Courts, District Ludhiana.

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Executive Officer, Nagar Council Doraha, District Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Deputy Director, Urban Local Bodies, District Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3160 of 2021(Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Prabhjot Singh (Appellant) 97815-38015 Lakhmi Chand, Clerk (for the Respondent) 98726-89328

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.9.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **7.7.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding the Government Library in Doraha, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **4.1.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **12.7.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Lakhmi Chand, Clerk, states that the requested information was sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 19/2021 Dated 24.9.2021. The appellant states that copy of house resolution provided to him is not one he requested in the RTI application. He states that he wants copy of the said resolution after it was approved by the Deputy Director, Local Government.
- 3. The respondent states that the copy of the resolution provided is only one available in the record of the Municipal Corporation, Doraha, Ludhiana and the specific document requested by the appellant is not available in his office record.
- 4. It is this Commission's view that this RTI application has been adequately addressed. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 21.12.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Amarjit Singh Dhamotia, S/o Rai Singh, # 60-35-P-330, Street No. 8, Maha Singh Nagar, Post Office Dhandari Kalan, District Ludhiana – 141 014

Versus

Public Information Officer,

o/o Chief Engineer, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

First Appellate Authority,

o/o Chief Engineer, Operation Central Zone, Mini Secretariat, Sarabha Nagar, Punjab State Power Corporation Limited (PSPCL), Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 2247 of 2020 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

(Appellant) Absent

Nazam Singh, Dealing Clerk (for the Respondent) 97797-00596

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **24.12.2020**, **25.2.2021**, **and 8.10.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **25.5.2020** vide which the appellant has sought information <u>regarding polluting factories situated in Janta Nagar, Kot Mangal Singh etc.</u>, as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **26.6.2020** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **21.8.2020** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **8.10.2021**.
- 2. The respondent PIO, represented by Nazam Singh, Dealing Clerk, has submitted a copy of a reply sent to the appellant vide Letter No. 659 Dated 8.3.2021, along with a receipt signed by the appellant on 22.3.2021, wherein he has stated that he has received the requested information and is satisfied with it.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 21.12.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Saurab Sharma, S/o Sh. Subhash Sharma, # 6163, B-23, Street No. 4, Hargobind Nagar, Near Hanuman Mandir, Ludhiana-141008

Versus

Public Information officer, o/o Municipal Corporation, Zone-B, Ludhiana. First Appellate Authority, o/o Commissioner, Municipal Corporation, Ludhiana.

Appeal Case No. 3150 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Saurab Sharma (Appellant) 98721-64341 Rajiv Bhardwaj, PIO-cum-Superintendent from Zone B (Respondent) 96460-08499

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on 21.9.2021)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **9.2.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding his complaint (Diary No. 6035/JC/B/P Dated 4.12.2020), as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **12.4.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **9.7.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO-cum-Superintendent from Zone B submitted vide Letter No. 221/SupdtRTI/Z-B/D dated 15.12.2021 that the information sought in the present RRI application is the same as that requested by the appellant in Appeal Case No. 2033 of 2021, which was heard and disposed of by this very Bench on 24.11.2021. The two applications were compared and the respondent PIO's contention is correct.
- 3. In view of the above, this Appeal Case is disposed of and **CLOSED**.

Sd/(ASIT JOLLY)
State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 21.12.2021

Red Cross Building, Near Rose Garden, Madhya Marg, Sector 16, Chandigarh. Ph: 0172-2864111, Visit us @ www.infocommpunjab.com, Email:psic21@punjabmail.gov.in



FINAL ORDER

Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia, S/o Sh. Gurcharan Singh, Ward No. 18, Street No. 2, Kartar Nagar, Amloh Road,

Khanna-141401

Versus

Public Information officer,

o/o Superintendent Engineer,
Municipal Council, Khanna,
District Ludhiana.
First Appellate Authority,
o/o Director, Department of Local Government,
Punjab Municipal Bhawan,
Plot No. 3, Dakshin Marg,
Sector-35 A, Chandigarh.

Appeal Case No. 2476 of 2021 (Video Conference Proceedings)

PRESENT:

Ramandeep Singh Ahluwalia (Appellant) 94645-00405 Rajesh Rehni, Assistant Engineer (for the Respondent) 98880-89001

ORDER:

(To be read in continuity with earlier orders on **21.9.2021**)

- 1. The RTI application is dated **20.1.2021** vide which the appellant has sought information regarding Punjab Municipal Act 1911/notification/any other applicable Act vide which Superintendent Engineer is authorized to use pendrive or clip for etendring etc., as enumerated in his RTI application. First Appeal was filed with the First Appellate Authority (FAA) on **15.3.2021** and Second Appeal was filed in the Commission on **24.5.2021** under Section 19 of the Right to Information Act, 2005. The case was last heard on **21.9.2021**.
- 2. Both parties are present. The respondent PIO, represented by Rajesh Rehni, Assistant Engineer, contends that the RTI application is in the form of queries and so seeks exemption from replying to any question. This Commission is of the view that the RTI application does not conform to the definition of "information" as defined in Section 2(f) of the RTI Act, 2005.
- 3. There is no further cause for action and this Appeal Case is herewith **CLOSED**.

Sd/-(ASIT JOLLY)

State Information Commissioner, Punjab.

Chandigarh 21.12.2021