

AC No. 3355 of 2019

Present: None for the parties.

O/o Civil Surgeon, Bathinda

#### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.11.2019 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today again neither the appellant nor the respondent is present. The appellant Sh. Jasbir Singh has sent a letter mentioning therein that he is unable to attend today's hearing.

3. Respondent is absent today.


4. Since, both the parties are absent, therefore one more opportunity is given to both the parties to appear before the Commission and state their case.

5. To come up on **13.01.2020 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings. Copy of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner

.....Respondent



Public Information Officer, O/OSenior Medical Officer, SDH Bhulath, Kapurthala

.....Respondent

## CC.No. 586 of 2019

Present: None for the parties.

### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous orders dated 18.11.2019 and 24.09.2019 vide which both the parties were absent.

2. Today again neither the complainant nor the respondent is present. It appears that Complainant is not interested in pursuing this matter. The case is **dismissed for non perusal**. Copies of the order be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 16.12.2019



Advocate Vijay Hans, Lex Solutions (Law Firm), House No. 31, Satya Niwas, Silver city Zirakpur - 140603

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o PUNSUP SCO 36-40, Sector 34A, Chandigarh First Appellate Authority O/o PUNSUP SCO 36-40, Sector 34A, Chandigarh

.....Respondent

# AC.No. 2073 of 2019

Vs

Present:

(i) None is present on behalf of the appellant

(ii) For the respondent: Sh. Anoop Sharma, GM-cum-PIO, Sh. Mridula Bhanot, SA

## ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated18.11.2019 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today again the appellant is not present.

3. Respondent files his reply stating that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information. He further states Sh. Naveen Garg to whom this information is related has refused to provide his personal information to the appellant –Sh. Vijay Hans vide his letter dated 11.02.2019.

4. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is observed that the appellant has demanded third party information, which cannot be provided to him. This objection of the respondent is upheld.

5. In view of the foregoing, no cause of action is left, the appeal filed by the appellant is therefore **disposed of and closed**. Copy of the order be sent to the parties/.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner



-----Appellant

Sh Surinder Singh, S/o Sh Sona Singh, Village Dab Khushal Joiya, Tehsil Jalalabad (W), Distt Fazilka

Vs.

Public Information Officer,

O/o Food And Drugs Administration, pb Family Welfare Bhawan, Sector 34, Chd

#### First Appellate Authority-cum

O/o Food And Drugs Administration, pb Family Welfare Bhawan, Sector 34, Chd

2. Public Information Officer,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare,Pb
Parivar Kalyan Bhawan,
Sector 34-A, Chandigarh

-----Respondent

### Appeal Case No. 3366 of 2019

Present : (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Rajinder Kumar, Sr. Assistant, Smt. Jasbir Kaur, Supdt.

### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.11.2019 vide which PIO, Director Health and Welfare was impleaded as respondent no. 2.

2. The appellant is not present today.

2. Today respondent, o/o Food and Drugs Administration states that the information is lying with the Director Health office and they have already transferred the RTI of the appellant to the concerned office.

4. Respondent o/o Director Health and Family Welfare, states that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information and cannot be provided under Section 8(j) of the RTI Act 2005.



Appeal Case No. 3366 of 2019

5. After hearing the respondent and examining the case file, it is ascertained that the information demanded by the appellant is third party information and cannot be provided. Respondent is directed to provide first page of service book to the appellant and he is also directed to provide the information pertaining to point no. 2. Remaining information is third party information, which cannot be provided.

6. To come up on **09.01.2020 at 11.00 AM** for further proceedings. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 16.12.2019



Sh. Jasbir Singh, S/o Sh. Harbans Singh Village Jalal Khera, PO Sular, Distt Patiala

Vs

.....Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o DFSO, Patiala First Appellate Authority O/o DFSO, Patiala

.....Respondent

## A<u>C.No. 2045 of 2019</u>

\_Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Varinder Singh, Inspector

## ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 18.11.2019 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. The appellant is again absent today. He has sent a letter stating that he is unable to attend today's hearing.

3. Respondent states that the appellant has not sent his ID proof till now.

4. The appellant is directed to visit the office of the respondent and obtain the information after depositing his ID proof.

5. With these directions, the appeal case filed by the appellant is disposed of and closed. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 16.12.2019





Registered post

Sh. Ravinder Singh Gill, House No. 986, Near Dev hotel, Main Bazar, Distt. Moga

.....Appellant

Vs

Public Information Officer, O/o Municipal Town Planner, Municipal Corporation, Moga First Appellate Authority, O/o Municipal Corporation, Moga

.....Respondent

## AC.No. 3514 of 2019

Present: (i) None is present on behalf of the appellant (ii) For the respondent : Sh. Gautam Kumar,

## ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 21.11.2019 vide which neither the appellant nor the respondent was present.

2. Today again the appellant is not present.


3. Respondent Sh. Gautam Kumar states that the information has already been sent to the appellant.

4. Since, the appellant is absent, one last opportunity is given to him to appear in the Court and state his case. It is made clear that in case the appellant does not appear on the next date of hearing, appropriate order in his absence shall be passed.

5. To come up on **07.01.2020 (at 11.00 AM)** for further proceedings to be heard through video conference facility in the office of the Deputy Commissioner, Moga. Copies of the order be sent to the parties *through registered post.* 

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner



**Public Information Officer,** O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala

First Appellate Authority O/o Civil Surgeon, Patiala Vs

.....Respondent

AC.No. 2084 of 2019

Present: None for the parties.

### ORDER

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.11.2019.

2. Today neither the Respondent nor the Appellant is present. However, an e-mail has been received from the Respondent stating that the complete information has been provided to the Appellant and she is satisfied with it. They have also sent a copy of the receiving given by the Appellant in lieu of receiving the information .

3. Since, the information has been provided to the Appellant therefore, no further cause of action is left. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is *disposed off and closed*. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner

Sh . Manjit Singh, S/o S. Harnam Singh # House No. 532, Kanera Street, Patiala

.....Appellant

Registered post:Public Information Officer,<br/>O/o MC, Patiala

First Appellate Authority-cum-O/o Joint Commissioner, MC, Patiala

.....Respondent

#### AC.No. 2705 of 2019

٧s

Present : (i) Sh Manjit Singh Complainant (ii) None for the respondent

#### <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 27.11.2019.

2. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today. However, they have informed

on phone that they shall not be able to attend the hearing today.

3. The appellant Sh. Manjit Singh states that he has not received the information till date.

4. The perusal of the file shows that no one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today. Moreover, the complete information has not been provided to the complainant till date. Hence, I order that the appellant shall visit the record in the office of the Respondent on **18.12.2019 at 11:00AM**, inspect the relevant records, identify the documents copies whereof are required by him; and the respondent shall provided copies thereof, according to his RTI application, in accordance with the relevant provisions of the RTI Act, 2005.

5. To come up on **09.01.2020 at 11:00AM**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated:16.12.2019



Sh Jagjeet Singh,(M:7470100029) R/o VPO Sekha Kalan, Tehsil Bagha Purana, Distt Moga-142049

-----Appellant

Public Information Officer, O/o DC, Ferozepur First Appellate Authority-cum O/o DC, Ferozepur 2.Public Information Officer, Custodium Branch, O/o DC, Ferozepur

Vs.

-----Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3367 of 2019

Present: (i) None for the Appellant

(ii) for the Respondent: Sh Rajnish Kumar, Jr Asstt.

## <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.11.2019.

2. The Appellant is not present today.

3. The Respondent states that the information is not related to them. The information relates to O/o DC, Bathinda. He has given in writing the same.

4. The perusal of the file shows that that the information sought by the Appellant is related to more than one PIO's. During the last hearing, PIO, o/o DC, Ferozepur, Custodian Branch was impleaded as respondent no. 1. The appellant may take note that under-section 6(1)(a) a person seeking information has to apply to the concerned public authority. It is not the job of the PIO of DC, Ferozepur to collect and therefore collate this information from different PIOs of different Public Authorities and thereafter supply the same to the appellant. I find no merit in the appeal and the same is dismissed. The appellant may however approach the concerned PIOs with fresh application/s if he wants the information. Hence, the Appeal case filed by the Appellant is **disposed off and closed**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties. Sd/-

Chandigarh Dated: 16.12.2019



Dr Bimla Chalia, (M: 9463891022) W/o Dr Dharamvir Chalia, R/o # 741, SST Nagar, Patiala

-----Appellant

**Public Information Officer,** O/o SMO, Amloh, Distt Fatehgarh Sahib

**First Appellate Authority-cum** Civil Surgeon, Fatehgarh Sahib

-----Respondent

Appeal Case No. 3337 of 2019

Vs.

Present: (i) Dr Bimla Chalia, Appellant

(ii) None for the Respondent

## <u>ORDER</u>

This order may be read with reference to the previous order dated 19.11.2019.

2. No one has appeared on behalf of the Respondent today.

3. The Appellant states that, as directed in the previous hearing, the Appellant had visited the office of the Respondent to inspect the record but she was not attended properly. Further she has pointed out deficiencies in the information provided which is as under:-

" 1. Information no 4 not supplied. They are intentionally avoiding to supply requisite ODF register as per required by me.

2. Information no. 1 supplied incomplete, supplied upto April 2018 not December 2018. Information supplied is in haphazard manner, half unattested photo copies supplied.

3. Even email was sent on 20.11.19. They sorted out the material on 25.11.2019 in our presence. He sent the peon for photocopies then. Sent information on 27.11.19 by registered post, received on 28.11.19."

4. The perusal of the file shows that the Appellant has not been provided the complete information. The Appellant has pointed out deficiencies in the information provided. Hence, the Respondent is directed to provide complete information to the Appellant after the removal of the above-said deficiencies, before the next date of hearing. To come up on **09.01.2020 at 11:00AM**. Copy of the orders be sent to the parties.

Sd/-

(Preety Chawla) State Information Commissioner